

Permafrost long-term monitoring network

WP6 Permafrost and Natural Hazards Action 6.1 – Method sheet

Electrical Resistivity/Impedance Tomography (ERT/EIT)

Michael KRAUTBLATTER¹

General information	
Category	Geophysical measurement
Background	Electrical Methods
Basic principles	
Technology	Surface-based geophysical methods represent a cost-effective approach to permafrost characterization. In ERT, the spatial distribution of electrical resistivity is determined from a set of electrical resistance measurements collected by an array of electrodes. Each measurement involves four electrodes: two electrodes where an electric current is injected and two electrodes where the resultant voltage response is measured; the voltage-to-current ratio defines the resistance. By systematically changing the location of the four electrodes, a resistance data set is assembled from which the underlying resistivity distribution can be computed by means of inverse modeling. ERT is considered well-suited for permafrost studies as freezing and thawing of most materials are associated with a significant, detectable resistivity change. The installation of permanent electrodes allows direct assessment of spatial and temporal permafrost variability. Laboratory-calibrated quantitative ERT does not only identify frozen and unfrozen rock but provides certain quantitative information on frozen rock temperature (details see Krautblatter <i>et al.</i> , 2010).
Data processing	Quantitative interpretation of ERT represents a non-trivial task as numerous factors have an influence on the inverted resistivity values, such as electrode arrangement, measurement scheme, and regularization approach. The appropriate description of the data errors in the inversion is of particular importance. Underestimation of data errors results directly in the fitting of data noise (i.e. details in the data are sought to be explained by the resistivity model although they represent noise) and thus the creation of artifacts in the data (i.e. details in the data are not sought to be explained by the resistivity model although they represent to be explained by the resistivity model although they represent to be explained by the resistivity model although they represent noise) and thus the information given in the data (i.e. details in the data are not sought to be explained by the resistivity model although they represent "signal") at the cost of contrast in the final image. A sophisticated data error description in the inversion (e.g. in CRTomo) must be considered a prerequisite for the quantitative interpretation of ERT images.
Possible applications	
Why?	Detection and monitoring of the state of permafrost inside rock walls
What?	Here rock walls, but also suitable for rock glaciers, moraines, landslides, glacial debris-cover
Where?	High mountains for physically accessible study objects

¹ Geographisches Institut - Universität Bonn - Meckenheimer Allee 166, 53115 Bonn - Germany - michael.krautblatter@giub.uni-bonn.de

Main results	
- ERT monitoring of permafrost degradation and aggradation in rock walls	
- Detection of fluid flow	
EIT with induced polarization allows the detection of fine-grained fracture fillings	
Main advantages	
- Cheap installation of electrodes	
 Highly redundant measurement conditions for monitoring applications 	
 Good evaluation possibilities of inherent error e.g. by reverse current and normal reciprocal error measurements 	
- Highly develop computing routines for different applications	
Main disadvantages/problems	
- Repeated effort of data quality and error models esp. in difficult measurement conditions	
 Problem of fixing electrodes and cables along steep rock faces 	
- Expensive measurement devices (ca. 50.000 €)	
High level of expertise for the interpretation of measurements	
References	
 Day-Lewis F.D., Singha K., Binley, A.M., 2005. Applying petrophysical models to radar travel time and electrical resistivity tomograms: Resolution-dependent limitations. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research - Solid Earth</i>, 110 (B8): B08206. 	
Hauck C., 2002. Frozen ground monitoring using DC resistivity tomography. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 29 (21): 2016.	
Hilbich C., Hauck C., Hoelzle M., Scherler M., Schudel L., Voelksch I., Muehll D.V., Maeusbacher R., 2008. Monitoring mountain permafrost evolution using electrical resistivity tomography: A 7-year study of seasonal, annual, and long-term variations at Schilthorn, Swiss Alps. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research - Earth Surface</i> , 113 (F1): F01590.	
Krautblatter M., Hauck C., 2007. Electrical resistivity tomography monitoring of permafrost in solid rock walls. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research, Earth Surface</i> , 112. doi:10.1029/2006JF000546.	
Krautblatter M., Verleysdonk S., Flores-Orozco A., Kemna A., 2010. Temperature-calibrated imaging of seasona changes in permafrost rock walls by quantitative electrical resistivity tomography (Zugspitze, German/Austrian Alps). In: <i>Journal of Geophysical Research - Earth Surface</i> , 115, F02003.	
Krautblatter M., 2010. Patterns of multiannual aggradation of permafrost in rock walls with and without hydraulic interconnectivity (Steintälli, Valley of Zermatt, Swiss Alps). <i>Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences</i> , 115: 199-219.	
Kneisel C., Hauck C., Fortier R., Moorman B., 2008. Advances in geophysical methods for permafrost investigations Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 19 (2): 157-178.	
Koestel J., Kemna A., Javaux M., Binley A., Vereecken H., 2008. Quantitative imaging of solute transport in ar unsaturated and undisturbed soil monolith with 3D ERT and TDR. <i>Water Resources Research</i> , 44 (12) W12411.	
McGinnis L.D., Nakao K., Clark C.C., 1973. Geophysical identification of frozen and unfrozen ground, Antarctica Proceedings of the 2 nd International Conference on Permafrost, Yakutsk, Russia: 136-146.	
Sass O., 2004. Rock moisture fluctuations during freeze-thaw cycles: Preliminary results from electrical resistivity measurements. <i>Polar Geography</i> , 28 (1): 13-31.	
Sass O., 2005. Rock moisture measurements: Techniques, results, and implications for weathering. <i>Earth Surface Processes and Landforms</i> , 30 (3): 359-374.	
Zisser N., Nover G., Durrast H., Siegesmund S., 2007. Relationship between electrical and hydraulic properties of sedimentary rocks. <i>Zeitschrift der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Geowissenschaften</i> , 158 (4): 883-894.	



Electrode array along the Piton Nord (Aiguille du Midi); b and c) Installation of electrode array at the Piton Central (Aiguille du Midi, summit 3842 m a.s.l.) in December 2008 (climber: L. Ravanel). Photos M. Krautblatter



Electrical resistivity tomography of the Piton Central. According to laboratory resistivity measurements, yellow (10⁴ ohm) marks the transition from unfrozen granite (blue/green) to much higher values frozen granite (red). The well-defined section of the tomography is enclosed by the U-shaped electrode array.